

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 34552

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 644
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: September 12, 2008
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
MICHAEL W. PARKER,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonner County. Hon. Steven C. Verby, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for possession of a controlled substance, methamphetamine, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

Michael W. Parker pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance, methamphetamine. I.C. § 37-2732(a)(1)(A). In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Parker to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years. Parker appeals.¹

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

¹ Parker also pled guilty and was sentenced for several misdemeanors. However, he does not challenge these judgments of conviction or sentences on appeal.

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Parker's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.